Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,508	Anderson et al.
	Examiner Gina Yu	Group Art Unit 1619
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	
(1) Gina Yu	(3) <u>Diana Duda</u>	sh
(2) Charles Ruggiero	(4)	
Date of Interview Oct 24, 2001		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's re	presentative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, b	rief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All pending.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Rouquet and Ishida		·
Claims 3-5 should be changed to independent claims to a size limitation, as the reference requires particle size less a don't require the particle size distribution of elastomer. S Examiner urges to change the term "vehicle" in claim 17 prior art of record, upon clarification of sec. 112 issues, particles and the size of the siz	than 10 microns. Ish lec. 112 rejection on to "form." The clain	da lacks elastomer particles, but claims "substantially" are to be withdrawn. as overall appear to be allowable over the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep	arate record of the su	bstance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	PEP section 713.04). ROM THIS INTERVIEV	If a reply to the last Office action has / DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
gn n	}^	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		